Molecular determinants of coordinated proton and zinc inhibition of N-methyl-d-aspartate NR1/NR2A receptors
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Modulation of the N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA)-selective glutamate receptors by extracellular protons and Zn$^{2+}$ may play important roles during ischemia in the brain and during seizures. Recombinant NR1/NR2A receptors exhibit a much higher apparent affinity for voltage-independent Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition than receptors with other subunit combinations. Here, we show that the mechanism of this apparent high-affinity, voltage-independent Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition for NR2A-containing receptors results from the enhancement of proton inhibition. We also show that the N-terminal leucine/isoleucine/valine binding protein (LIVBP)-like domain of the NR2A subunit contains critical determinants of the apparent high-affinity, voltage-independent Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition. Mutations H42A, H44G, or H128A greatly increase the Zn$^{2+}$ I$_{C0}$ (by up to $\sim$700-fold) with no effect on the potencies of glutamate and glycine or on voltage-dependent block by Mg$^{2+}$. Furthermore, the amino acid residue substitution H128A, which mediates the largest effect on the apparent high-affinity Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition among all histidine substitutions we tested, is also critical to the pH-dependency of Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition. Our data revealed a unique interaction between two important extracellular modulators of NMDA receptors.

Glutamate receptors are ligand-gated ion channels that mediate excitatory synaptic transmission in the central nervous system. Although overactivation of the N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA)-selective glutamate receptors can trigger neurodegeneration in neuropathological conditions such as stroke, NMDA receptor function is regulated under normal conditions by several extracellular ions (Mg$^{2+}$, H$^{+}$, and Zn$^{2+}$), which exert strong tonic inhibition in a subunit selective manner (1). Inhibition by extracellular protons is particularly relevant for the neuropathological consequences of occlusive stroke because acidification of the extracellular environment during ischemia has been hypothesized to inhibit NMDA receptor overactivation by extrasympathetic glutamate that accumulates following metabolic failure (2, 3). The proton inhibition of NMDA receptors could delay their contribution to subsequent neuronal death until the pH gradients are restored, and this delay may provide a therapeutic window for postsilin treatment with NMDA receptor antagonists. Acidification of the extracellular space during electrophoretic seizure may also contribute to seizure termination through inhibition of NMDA receptor function (4, 5). Because of these potentially important aspects of the pH sensitivity of the NMDA receptor, we have sought to understand the structural basis by which the receptor might control its regulation by extracellular protons.

In the central nervous system, the extracellular Zn$^{2+}$ concentration has been shown to vary under normal brain function as well as neuropathological conditions (6, 7). In addition, there are large amounts of chelatable Zn$^{2+}$ in the glutamatergic terminals of hippocampus (8–10), which are released in a Ca$^{2+}$-dependent manner (for review, see ref. 6). It is well established that Zn$^{2+}$ can inhibit neuronal NMDA receptors through a dual mechanism involving both voltage-dependent channel block and a voltage-independent inhibition (11–14). The voltage-independent Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition appears to be strongly dependent on subunit composition, being influenced by the NR2 subunits as well as NR1 splice variants in recombinant NMDA receptors (15–18). Interestingly, recombinant receptors comprised of the NR2A subunit are much more sensitive to Zn$^{2+}$ than NR2B-, NR2C-, or NR2D-containing receptors, being inhibited in the nanomolar range by as much as 70% (15–17). Although cultured or acutely dissociated hippocampal and spinal dorsal horn neurons show lower sensitivity than recombinant NR2A-containing receptors (19), NMDA receptors in some brain areas such as mossy fiber synapses in the hippocampus (20, 21) may possess a sensitivity for Zn$^{2+}$ in the nanomolar range and be tonically regulated by endogenous extracellular Zn$^{2+}$ in physiologically and pathologically relevant ways.

Our previous study (18) as well as more recent work (22) suggested that there may be structural and/or functional links between inhibition of the NMDA receptor by extracellular protons and Zn$^{2+}$. Here, we have explored the nature of the coupling between proton and Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition and show that there is a unique interaction between Zn$^{2+}$ and proton inhibition for NR2A- but not NR2B-containing receptors. We have also used site-directed mutagenesis to systematically probe for coordinate structural determinants of proton and Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition in the N-terminal leucine/isoleucine/valine binding protein (LIVBP)-like domain of NR2A subunit (22, 23). This region is critical for the rapidly reversible form of redox modulation (24), which has been suggested to reflect chelation of contaminant Zn$^{2+}$ (17). Here, we identify several histidine residues within the NR2A LIVBP-like domain that are candidate electron donors to the Zn$^{2+}$ coordination site. In addition, a single histidine residue (His128) that caused the largest shift in Zn$^{2+}$ sensitivity is also critical to the pH-dependence of voltage-independent Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition of NR2A-containing receptors.

Materials and Methods

Site-Directed Mutagenesis. The mutants of NR2A were constructed by using the pcDNA3, template with Pfua DNA polymerase (Stratagene) to linearly replicate the parental strand with desired mismatch(es) incorporated into the primer. Methylated parental DNA template was then degraded with DpnI. The nicked double-stranded mutant DNA was transformed into MAX Efficiency DH5$\alpha$ (GIBCO/BRL) or XL-Blue (Stratagene). Colonies were screened for a silent mutation that introduces a new restriction site. The mutations were verified by dideoxy sequencing through the region of the mutations. For NR2A containing mutations H42A, H44G, and H128A, their full coding sequences were verified by sequencing. The starting wild-type NR2A template used for all NR2A mutants constructed.

Abbreviations: NMDA, N-methyl-d-aspartate; LIVBP, leucine/isoleucine/valine binding protein.
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tion carries an 11-amino acid residues insert in the C terminus, which has no effect on the function of the receptor.

**Expression of NMDA Receptors in Xenopus Oocytes.** cRNA was synthesized from linearized template of CDNA according to manufacturer’s specification (Ambion, Austin, TX). The quality and quantity of cRNA were assessed by gel electrophoresis. Preparation of oocytes and injection of cRNAs coding for wild-type and mutant NMDA receptors were performed as described (18).

**Buffered Zn²⁺ Solutions.** The tricine-buffered Zn²⁺ solutions used to obtain the Zn²⁺ dose-response curves were prepared as described by Zheng et al. (25) by using the empirically established binding constant of 10⁻⁵ M⁻¹. The following nominal concentrations of Zn²⁺ (0.78, 2.6, 7.8, 26, 77.6, and 254 μM), were added into 10 mM (pKₐ 8.15) tricine-buffer. The corresponding estimated concentrations of free Zn²⁺ at pH 7.3 were calculated with WINMAC (26) and BAD (27), and were (in nM): 7.71; 25.3; 77.1; 253; 777; and 2,590.

**Voltage-Clamp Recordings from Xenopus Oocytes.** Two electrode voltage-clamp recordings were made 2–7 days postinjection as described (18). Briefly, oocytes were perfused with a solution comprised of (in mM) 90 NaCl, 1 KCl, 10 Hepes, and 0.5 BaCl₂ at pH 7.3, and held under voltage clamp at −20 to −30 mV (or stated otherwise). Oocytes were prewashed with a given Zn²⁺ concentration before application of Zn²⁺ and agonist/coagonist. Similar prewash for pH changes was also performed. Electrodes were filled with 300 mM KCl and had resistance of 1–10 MΩ. Solution exchange was computer controlled through a 16-valve manifold. ZnCl₂ solutions (10 mM) were prepared fresh every 10 h and added directly to the recording solution to obtain the desired Zn²⁺ concentrations for all experiments. EDTA (pH 7.3, 1–10 μM) was added to control solutions containing saturating concentrations of glutamate (20–50 μM) or NMDA (200 μM) and glycine (10–100 μM) to chelate the contaminant Zn²⁺. The ambient Zn²⁺ concentration (17) in our solution was ~100 mM (25) and arised predominantly as a contaminant from the NaCl. Tricine was used to buffer Zn²⁺ concentrations 0.003–3 μM (as described above) and ADA [N-2-acetamidoiminodiacetic acid (Sigma); log stability constants K₁ = 7.1 and K₂ = 9.22] (1 mM) was used to buffer Zn²⁺ concentrations 0.1–100 nM (28) for experiments with NR2A-containing NMDA receptors. For Zn²⁺ inhibition experiments performed at pH 8.0 or higher, the nominal Zn²⁺ concentration was increased to compensate for the formation of zinc hydroxide complex as described previously (18).

**Data Analysis.** The IC₅₀ value for a single-binding-site isotherm was determined for each oocyte recording by fitting the data with the following equation I/Iₘₐₓ = (1 - minimum)/(1 + ([Zn²⁺]/IC₅₀)ⁿ) + minimum, where n is the Hill slope and minimum is the residual response (18). If the fitting algorithm returned a value less than 0.05 for minimum (our estimated limit for detection), we fixed minimum to 0 and refit the Zn²⁺ and proton inhibition data. The overall mean IC₅₀ was then determined by averaging all of the individual IC₅₀ values for a given wild-type or mutant receptor. All pooled data were expressed as mean ± SEM. The number of oocytes recorded for each mutant is shown in parentheses.

**Simulation of the Zn²⁺-Inhibition Curve.** If Zn²⁺ inhibits NR1/ NR2A receptors by enhancing proton inhibition, Zn²⁺ should alter proton IC₅₀ (IC₅₀(H)) in a concentration-dependent manner. Proton IC₅₀(H) as a function of free Zn²⁺ was calculated by the following equation:

\[
IC₅₀(H)/Zn = [IC₅₀(H)(0) - IC₅₀(H)/(Zn)](1 + [Zn]/KₙZn) + IC₅₀(H)/(Zn),
\]

\[1\]

We set the IC₅₀(100) (0) and IC₅₀(100) (∞) to 125 and 27.5 mM, respectively. These values are a close approximation of measured proton IC₅₀ values for NR1/NR2A receptors under nominally Zn²⁺-free condition (in the presence of 2–10 μM EDTA) and in the presence of nominally saturating Zn²⁺ (1 μM; see Fig. 2A). The KₙZn is set to 10 nM, an arbitrary value chosen based on the reported Zn²⁺ IC₅₀ (15–18).

The amount of Zn²⁺ inhibition as a function of free Zn²⁺ (I/Iₘₐₓ(Zn)) at any given pH could be predicted by the ratio of current responses in the presence of Zn²⁺ (Iₘₐₓ (H)) and absence of Zn²⁺ (Iₘₐₓ (H)). Assuming that enhancement of proton inhibition is the only mechanism by which Zn²⁺ inhibits NR1/NR2A receptors, then:

\[
I_{Zn}(H) = 1/(1 + [H]/[IC₅₀(H)/Zn])
\]

\[2\]

\[
I_{H}(H) = 1/[1 + [H]/[IC₅₀(H)(0)]
\]

\[3\]

Therefore, at any given pH, the amount of Zn²⁺ inhibition can be determined by:

\[
I/Iₘₐₓ(Zn) = [1 + [H]/[IC₅₀(H)(0)]/[1 + [H]/[IC₅₀(H)/Zn)]
\]

\[4\]

**Results**

Zn²⁺ Inhibition is pH Dependent for NR2A- but Not for NR2B-Containing Receptors. We have previously shown that alternative exon splicing and point mutations of NR1 that alter proton sensitivity also alter Zn²⁺ sensitivity of recombinant NMDA receptors. One straightforward explanation for this apparent correlation between proton sensitivity and Zn²⁺ sensitivity is that the voltage-independent Zn²⁺ inhibition results from enhancement of proton inhibition. If this were the case, one would predict that Zn²⁺ could not inhibit NMDA receptors at alkaline pHs. However, reduction of Zn²⁺ inhibition at alkaline pH cannot be viewed as strong evidence supporting an interaction between Zn²⁺ and proton inhibition because of the formation of zinc hydroxide and zinc carbonate complexes at alkaline pHs. If the total Zn²⁺ remains constant at 1 μM, the free Zn²⁺ will be reduced to 0.82 μM at pH 8.0, 0.68 μM at pH 8.2, and 0.39 μM at pH 8.5 (18, 28). These large reductions of free Zn²⁺, which have not always been compensated (22), are sufficient to produce the reduction of Zn²⁺ inhibition at alkaline pHs (see Fig. 6F of ref. 22). Quantitatively, the reduction of free Zn²⁺ because of the formation of zinc hydroxide complex could account for most of the reduction of Zn²⁺ inhibition that we observed for NR1/NR2B at alkaline pH, leading us previously to conclude that there is no interaction between Zn²⁺ and protons for NR1/NR2B receptors (18).

In the present study, we have addressed the link between pH and Zn²⁺ for both NR2B- and NR2A-containing receptors by using a different approach. We coexpressed NR2A or NR2B with NR1(D669N). Mutation at Asp669 to Asn, which does not affect NR1(D669N)-containing receptors by raising pH to 8.0, then the change cannot be accounted for by the formation of zinc hydroxide complex. Evaluation of the pH dependence of Zn²⁺ inhibition at pH values below 8.0 therefore can provide an opportunity to probe the link between Zn²⁺ and pH without having to deal with formation of zinc hydroxide complexes. To test the interaction between pH- and Zn²⁺-inhibition of recombinant NMDA receptors, Zn²⁺ concentrations that cause approximately 50% inhibition at the most acidic pH tested (pH 6.4) were chosen. As reported
confirmed that there is no voltage-dependent channel block caused by Zn$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ receptors, and there is a potential interaction between NR1-1a and NR2A receptors. All control currents for NR2A-containing receptors were recorded in the presence of 10 $\mu$M EDTA. The NR1(D669N) mutant was used to shift the pH sensitivity to a range where formation of Zn$^{2+}$-hydroxide complex is negligible at alkaline pHs. (C) Plot of the degree of Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition at various pH values for NR1-1a(D669N) containing NR2A ($\sim$20 to 40 mV) or NR2B ($\sim$15 to 30 mV) receptors. (D) Wild-type NR1-1a receptors containing NR2A or NR2B subunit in the presence of 3 $\mu$M and 15 $\mu$M added Zn$^{2+}$, respectively, confirm the observation in C. Outward responses recorded at -20 mV for NR1-1a/NR2B. All error bars are SEM. Numbers in parentheses are the number of oocytes.

previously for NR2B-containing receptors (18), Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition for NR1(D669N)/NR2B is unaltered at pHs 6.4–8.0 (Fig. 1B and C). On the other hand, Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition for NR1(D669N)/NR2A receptors are steeply pH-dependent (Fig. 1A and C). It should be noted that the Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition for NR1(D669N)/NR2A at pH 7.4 is only half of that at pH 6.4, whereas the free Zn$^{2+}$ concentrations (considering the degree of zinc hydroxide complex formation) at these two pH values differ by less than 3%. Furthermore, there is no detectable Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition at pH 8.2 for NR1(D669N)/NR2A. To qualitatively verify that the pH sensitivity of Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition of NR2A-containing receptors is not influenced by mutation of Asp669 in NR1, we repeated these experiments with wild-type receptors. The free Zn$^{2+}$ concentration for complex formation is corrected by increasing the total Zn$^{2+}$ (18). Wild-type NR1-1a containing receptors possessed similar differences as NR1-1a(D669N)-containing receptors in the proton sensitivity of the voltage-independent Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition when coexpressed with NR2A and NR2B (Fig. 1D). Because a Zn$^{2+}$ concentration of 15 $\mu$M at a holding potential of −15 to −30 mV was used to determine NR1-1a/NR2B receptor's pH-dependent Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition, it is possible that some voltage-dependent Zn$^{2+}$ block occurs under our recording conditions. Therefore, we examined outward responses recorded at +20 mV at either extreme pH values and obtained identical results as those recorded at −20 mV (Fig. 1D). Our data confirmed that there is no voltage-dependent channel block caused by Zn$^{2+}$ at the highest Zn$^{2+}$ concentration used in this study. Taken together, these data strongly suggest that voltage-independent Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition of NR1/NR2A receptors is distinct from that of NR1/NR2B receptors, and there is a potential interaction between proton and Zn$^{2+}$ for NR2A-containing, but not NR2B-containing receptors.

**Mechanism of the Voltage-Independent Zn$^{2+}$ Inhibition of NR1/NR2A Receptors.** To further test the extent to which Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition reflected enhancement of tonic proton inhibition of NR2A-containing NMDA receptors, we performed the following two experiments. First, we measured the proton sensitivity of NR1/NR2A receptors in nominal absence of free Zn$^{2+}$ (in the presence of 1–10 $\mu$M EDTA) and in the presence of 1 $\mu$M added Zn$^{2+}$. We found that the receptors are differentially sensitive to protons in Zn$^{2+}$-free solution (proton IC$_{50}$, 120 nM) and in the presence of 1 $\mu$M Zn$^{2+}$ (proton IC$_{50}$, 29 nM) (Fig. 2A) (22).

The apparent high-affinity Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition curve has a residual fractional response of about 0.2–0.4 (Fig. 3C, ref. 17, see also refs. 15 and 16). However, the nature of this residual response is not clear. If Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition of NR2A-containing receptors reflected enhancement of proton sensitivity, as has been reported for phenolethanolamines (30), the maximal Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition would depend on the concentration of protons. Based on the shift of proton curve in the presence of saturating Zn$^{2+}$, the proton inhibition at neutral pH is still far from the maximal, resulting in a significant residual response. At more alkaline pH, the residual current in the presence of saturating Zn$^{2+}$ would be large because the amount of proton inhibition is further reduced. At more acidic pH, the residual current would be smaller because of the larger degree of proton inhibition. We simulated the Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition curves at various pH values (see Methods), and from these simulated results, we predict that the residual responses of Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition curves will be pH dependent (Fig. 2B). Additional simulations as in Fig. 2B (data not shown) using a range of $K_{Zn}$ values (1–200 nM) confirm that the pH dependence of the residual current is independent of the $K_{Zn}$ for the Zn$^{2+}$ site provided that the Zn$^{2+}$ concentration tested is saturating (i.e., 10-fold of $K_{Zn}$). If the predicted residual responses agree with those determined experimentally, that would be consistent with our assumption that Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition reflects enhancement of tonic proton inhibition.

In the second set of experiments, we measured the residual
responses of the apparent high affinity Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition at three different pH values (Fig. 2 D–F). Consistent with our simulated Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition curves in Fig. 2B, we found that the residual response of the Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition curve has shifted from 0.24 at pH 6.8 to 0.38 and 0.70 at pHs 7.3 and 8.0, respectively (Fig. 2 D–F, $n = 6$ at each pH). The residual responses obtained experimentally at these pH values correlate closely with the predicted values (Fig. 2C). These data support the conclusion that the nanomolar component of the voltage-independent Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition of NR2A-containing receptors is pH-dependent and operates in a manner consistent with the enhancement of proton sensitivity. These data further suggest that the residual current during Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition of NR2A-containing receptors reflects the fact that Zn$^{2+}$ inhibits receptors by causing a modest shift in pH inhibition.

In addition to the shift in residual currents, we also observed an enhancement of apparent Zn$^{2+}$ affinity at alkaline pH (Fig. 2 D and F). This measured shift in apparent affinity is consistent with the contribution of an uncharged histidine residue to the stabilization of Zn$^{2+}$ binding. In this scenario, protonation of a histidine would increase the partial positive charge on the side chain thus diminishing its ability to contribute an electron pair to Zn$^{2+}$ coordination. Alternatively, removal of a proton at alkaline pH values would enhance the ability of a histidine to coordinate Zn$^{2+}$. To evaluate this idea, we sought to identify candidate histidine residues involved in Zn$^{2+}$ coordination.

### Histidine Residues in the Proximal LIVBP-like Domain of NR2A Subunit Contribute to the Voltage-Independent Zn$^{2+}$ Inhibition

Because recent data suggests that the LIVBP-like domain (N-terminal 370 residues) of NR2A harbors critical residues influencing the voltage-independent Zn$^{2+}$ inhibition of NMDA receptors (24), we mutated each histidine residue in this region of NR2A subunit to alanine, glycine, or valine (Fig. 3A and Newman–Keul’s post hoc tests). Similar responses recorded at holding potentials $–20$ to $–30$ mV can be fit with a single binding site isotherm, yielding IC$_{50}$ values of 2.651 (122-fold), 6.267 (289-fold), and 15.078 (695-fold) nM, respectively (Fig. 3C). Mutations of Group I histidine residues had little or no effect on the EC$_{50}$ of the coagonists glutamate and glycine, and voltage-dependent Mg$^{2+}$ block (Table 1). The Group II residues H85, H96, H332, and H335 exert a more modest shift on the IC$_{50}$ values of recombinant NR1/NR2A receptors, between 4- and 8-fold. Group III is comprised of H168, H223, H358, and H387, which showed no significant change compared with the wild-type NR1-1a/NR2A receptors (IC$_{50}$ 21.7 nM) when mutated to alanine, glycine, or valine ($P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Newman–Keul’s post hoc tests). Similar reduction in Zn$^{2+}$ sensitivity was confirmed in HEK293 cells.

Table 1. Histidine residues that alter Zn$^{2+}$ sensitivity do not alter basic receptor properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Glutamate $E_{C50}$ µM (n)</th>
<th>$N_{Hill}$</th>
<th>Glycine $E_{C50}$ µM (n)</th>
<th>$N_{Hill}$</th>
<th>Mg$^{2+}$ $I_{100\mu M/IC_{control}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.2 (7)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.2 (14)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.29 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H42A)</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.2 (9)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2 (7)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.33 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H44G)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.2 (9)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.3 (10)</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.32 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H128A)</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.2 (8)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.2 (8)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.35 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The glutamate and glycine EC$_{50}$ values of wild-type and NR2A Group I histidine mutants show no significant differences at $–20$ to $–30$ mV holding potentials ($P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Tukey-post-hoc tests). The Mg$^{2+}$ inhibition ($I_{100\mu M}/IC_{control}$ at 100 µM Mg$^{2+}$) of NR2A wild-type and Group I histidine mutants showed no significant differences ($P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Tukey-post-hoc tests) at $–50$ mV. There was no detectable differences between wild-type NR2A receptors and Group I histidine mutants at 300 µM Mg$^{2+}$ ($n = 37$, data not shown).
transiently expressing mutant NR1/NR2A(H44G) (n = 3) and NR1/NR2A(H128A) (n = 3) (data not shown).

Because there may be common structural determinants of proton and Zn\(^{2+}\) as suggested previously by the correlation between the proton sensitivity and the Zn\(^{2+}\) sensitivity for NR1 splice variants and point mutations of NR1 subunit (18), some mutations of NR2A that alter Zn\(^{2+}\) sensitivity may alter proton sensitivity. Therefore, we tested the effect of all histidine mutants in the LIVBP-like domain of NR2A on the proton sensitivity. The pH sensitivity was determined in the absence of contaminant Zn\(^{2+}\) by addition of 10 \(\mu\)M EDTA. Our results are summarized in Table 2. There was no correlation (correlation coefficient, r = 0.095, P > 0.5) between the effects of amino acid exchanges on Zn\(^{2+}\) and pH sensitivity.

Table 2. Proton inhibition of NR-1-1a/NR2A receptors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>pH IC(_{50})</th>
<th>Free proton concentration, nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A</td>
<td>7.02 (7)</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H42A)</td>
<td>6.76 (6)</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H44G)</td>
<td>6.88 (10)</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H581A)</td>
<td>6.70 (4)</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H96A)</td>
<td>6.80 (7)</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H128A)</td>
<td>6.82 (5)</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H168G)</td>
<td>7.01 (5)</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H223A)</td>
<td>7.02 (5)</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H332/35A)</td>
<td>7.01 (5)</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H338V)</td>
<td>7.07 (5)</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR1-1a/NR2A(H87A)</td>
<td>7.16 (4)</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fitted pH IC\(_{50}\) values of NR2A histidine mutants screened when coexpressed with NR1-1a. IC\(_{50}\) values in free H\(^+\) concentration are given to the nearest 1 nM (activity coefficient of 0.8). Experiments were performed with saturating concentrations of NMDA (200 \(\mu\)M) and glycine (100 \(\mu\)M). All solutions contain 10 \(\mu\)M EDTA to remove contaminant Zn\(^{2+}\).

Histidine 128 Is Critical for the pH Dependency of Zn\(^{2+}\) Inhibition of NR1/NR2A Receptors. Because the NR2A subunit controls the pH dependency of Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition in the recombinant NMDA receptors (see above), we tested whether those histidines in NR2A that have the largest effect on the voltage-independent Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition (H42, H44, and H128) might be the molecular determinant(s) for this phenomenon. By using nominal Zn\(^{2+}\) concentrations that caused approximately 50% inhibition of each mutant, we found that the Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition for H42A and H44G mutations are pH-dependent in a similar fashion to the wild-type NR2A (Fig. 4 A, B, and D), even though the Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition curves are shifted by as much as 289-fold. Similar pH-dependent Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition was found for receptors containing the double mutations H42A/H44G (n = 6, data not shown). However, amino acid substitution at histidine 128 renders Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition pH-insensitive (Fig. 4 C and E). These data suggest that H128 may be important for the pH-dependency of Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition of NR1/NR2A receptors.

Discussion

The three most important conclusions to emerge from this study are: (i) that the voltage-independent Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition is pH-dependent for NR2A but not NR2B-containing NMDA receptors, (ii) that the inhibition of NR2A-containing receptors by nonselective concentrations of Zn\(^{2+}\) reflects enhancement of tonic proton inhibition, and (iii) that the LIVBP-like domain of NR2A contain residues that control the voltage-independent Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition of NMDA receptors, including a single amino acid residue (His128) that is also critical for the pH-dependency of Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition. These findings have important structural and functional implications about the manner by which protons and Zn\(^{2+}\) control NMDA receptor function.

Subunit Dependence of the pH-Dependent Zn\(^{2+}\) Inhibition of Recombinant NMDA Receptors. From our previous studies of the interaction between protons and Zn\(^{2+}\), we concluded that Zn\(^{2+}\) did not inhibit NR1/NR2B receptor function by enhancement of pH inhibition (18). However, it has recently been suggested that the voltage-independent Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition of recombinant NMDA receptors may involve the enhancement of proton inhibition (22). Given these apparently conflicting data, we have investigated the possible interaction between protons and Zn\(^{2+}\) in NR1/NR2A and NR1/NR2B receptors by using an experimental paradigm designed to avoid pH-dependent reduction of free Zn\(^{2+}\) concentration (18). Our results show pH-dependence of Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition of NR2A but not NR2B receptors, and thus are consistent with our previous results as well as some of the results reported by Choi and Lipton (22). The difference in the pH sensitivity underscores the different structural determinants within the NR2A subunit that control Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition, and suggests that the Zn-binding domains of the NR2A subunit may have functional or structural interactions with the proton sensor. Furthermore, the strong pH-dependence of Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition of NR2A-containing receptors raises the idea that Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition of these receptors may proceed by a fundamentally different mechanism than Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition of NR2B receptors.

Mechanism of Zn\(^{2+}\) Inhibition of Recombinant NR1/NR2A Receptors. Phenolethanolamines inhibit recombinant NR2B-containing NMDA receptors by enhancing proton inhibition such that, at physiological pH, receptor function is almost fully inhibited (30). Could a similar mechanism also account for Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition of recombinant NMDA receptors? The pH independence of Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition of NR2B-containing receptors argues against the idea that Zn\(^{2+}\) binding to the receptor enhances tonic proton inhibition (see also ref. 18). However, the pH-dependence of NR2A receptors is consistent with this possibility, as first suggested by Choi and Lipton (22). If enhancement of proton inhibition is the mechanism by which Zn\(^{2+}\) could cause voltage-independent
inhibition of NR1/NR2A, then the residual current observed at physiological pH (7.3–7.4) could be explained by the submaximal proton inhibition. Furthermore, one would predict that the degree of the residual current would be pH dependent. Our data confirm this prediction (Fig. 2C), providing strong evidence that Zn\(^{2+}\)-bound receptors have an enhanced proton sensitivity, and also provides a mechanistic explanation for the residual current that remains when the apparent high affinity Zn\(^{2+}\) binding site is saturated. In addition, this finding increases the list of substances (polyamines, ifenprodil, Zn\(^{2+}\)) that exert their actions through modification of the pH sensitivity of NMDA receptors (30, 36). Thus, the control of channel gating by protonation of an ionizable group within the receptor appears to be a common denominator for several extracellular binding sites on NMDA receptors.

Structural Basis for Zn\(^{2+}\) Inhibition of Recombinant NR1/NR2A Receptors. We have identified three histidine residues (Group I sites and the propensity of histidine residues to participate in the magnitude of the effect of amino acid substitutions at these sites) that remain when the apparent high affinity Zn\(^{2+}\) amino acid exchanges at these sites have smaller effects on Zn\(^{2+}\) receptors. The denominator for several extracellular binding sites on NMDA through modification of the pH sensitivity of NMDA receptors (30), and is consistent with all of our results (see also ref. 22). Thus, Zn\(^{2+}\) and ifenprodil may be functional analogs for the LIVBP domains of NR2A- and NR2B-containing receptors. Substitution of H128 to alanine abolished the pH-dependence of apparent high-affinity Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition. This observation suggests that the protonation of H128 may play an important role in the regulation of NR1/NR2A receptors. The protonation of H128, by decreasing the electronegativity of the side chain, reduces the ability of this residue to stabilize Zn\(^{2+}\) binding. This idea is consistent with the increase in apparent affinity of Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition that occurs at alkaline pH values (compare Fig. 2 D and F) at which a larger fraction of H128 would be expected to be unprotonated. Although more work including structural data will be needed to ultimately understand Zn\(^{2+}\) inhibition, our experiments have emphasized interesting structural features of the link in the effects of extracellular proton and Zn\(^{2+}\) for NR2A-containing receptors.
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